



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/551,833 | 07/20/2006 | Roman J. Giger | 20724-011US1 | 3920 |
| 26167 | 7590 | 11/20/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022 | | | WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1647 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/20/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/551,833 | GIGER, ROMAN J. | |

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CHERIE M. WOODWARD | 1647 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Cherie M. Woodward.

(3) _____.

(2) Tina McKeon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 November 2008

Time: 11:20am EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Objection to specification and drawings.

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cherie M. Woodward/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative McKeon regarding the drawings and specification amendments related to Figure 1B-1, which is recited in the specification as Figure 1C. There is no Figure 1C in the drawings, but there is Figure 1B-1, which follows Figure 1B. The examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment which will require replacement drawing sheets to amend the label of Figure 1B-1 to Figure 1C, so that the drawings and specification are in agreement and the case can be passed to issue. The examiner also proposed to delete the word "novel" from the title of the invention.